

PETITION OF

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

CASE NO. PUR-2025-00079

For approval to revise its net metering program
pursuant to § 56-594 of the Code of Virginia

ORDER ON CLARIFICATION

On May 1, 2025, Virginia Electric and Power Company (“Dominion” or “Company”) filed with the State Corporation Commission (“Commission”) a petition (“Petition”), pursuant to § 56-594 E of the Code of Virginia (“Code”) and the Commission’s order in Case No. PUR-2024-00047,¹ for approval of its proposed revisions to its net energy metering (“net metering” or “NEM”) reform proposal, NEM 2.0.²

On April 30, 2026, the Commission entered a Final Order in this case. On May 4, 2026, Appalachian Voices, Sierra Club, Vote Solar, Piedmont Environmental Council, and Solar United Neighbors (collectively, “Respondents”) filed a Petition for Clarification or Reconsideration. The Respondents state that although the Final Order’s approval of “annual netting” seems to be the implication, based on the Commission’s determination that Dominion’s “real time netting” proposal is inappropriate at this time, the Commission stops short of stating explicitly that “annual netting” should remain in place. The Respondents request that the Commission clarify its Final Order by indicating that an “annual netting” interval should remain in place under Dominion’s NEM 2.0 tariff. On May 6, 2026, the Virginia Distributed Solar

¹ *Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. State Corporation Commission, Ex Parte: Future net energy metering proceedings of Appalachian Power Company and Virginia Electric and Power Company pursuant to Code § 56-594*, Case No. PUR-2024-00047, Doc. Con. Cen. No. 240510149, Order (May 6, 2024).

² Ex. 2 (Petition) at 1.

Alliance filed a Petition for Reconsideration also requesting certain clarification of the Final Order. On May 19, 2026, Dominion also filed a Petition for Reconsideration and Clarification of Final Order.

NOW THE COMMISSION, upon consideration of this matter, is of the opinion and finds as follows.

With respect to Dominion's NEM 2.0 tariff, the April 30 Final Order did the following, among other things: (i) it maintained the existing energy-based accounting for netting imported and exported electricity over the net metering period; (ii) it set the export credit rate paid to customer-generators at \$0.05829/kWh; and (iii) as required by Code § 56-594 I 2, the Final Order determined that 30 minutes was "an appropriate netting measurement interval" for the successor tariff, as requested by the Company. The net metering *period*—which is separate from the netting measurement *interval*—is statutorily defined in Code § 56-594 B as one year and remains unchanged.

Because the Commission declined at that time to adopt the Company's proposed monetary-based accounting dynamic to intervals within the net metering period, the selection of any particular interval should have no financial consequences for a customer-generator. The most significant change from the customer-generator standpoint is in the export credit rate that applies to excess energy supplied to the grid over the annual net metering period.³ To the extent the customer-generator is a net importer of energy over the annual period (rather than a net exporter), this successor tariff operates akin to the former one financially.

³ The Commission notes that the April 30 Final Order approved a \$1.00 per month administrative charge to cover certain costs of administering NEM accounts.

While the Commission concluded that the Company's proposed 30-minute interval is just and reasonable, the Commission continues to find that the former default monthly interval (e.g., a billing cycle) remains just and reasonable as well. The Commission is approving the use of the 30-minute interval here in order to allow Dominion to develop more accurate data regarding net usage.⁴

Pursuant to Code § 56-594 E, the Commission shall establish a date by which the new terms and conditions shall apply for interconnection. In its Application, Dominion proposed that changes to its NEM program would only be applicable to non-low-income customers with new or modified interconnections effective the first of the month that is at least 12 months from the date of a final order in this proceeding.⁵ We clarify that the Commission deems this proposed implementation date to be reasonable.

Accordingly, IT IS SO ORDERED; Respondents' Petition for Clarification or Reconsideration, Virginia Distributed Solar Alliance's Petition for Reconsideration, and Dominion's Petition for Reconsideration and Clarification of Final Order are denied; the Final Order is clarified as set forth herein, and this case is dismissed.

A COPY hereof shall be sent electronically by the Clerk of the Commission to all persons on the official Service List of this matter. The Service List is available from the Clerk of the Commission.

⁴ The Company shall revise the NEM 2.0 tariff language in accordance with the findings of the April 30 Final Order as clarified herein.

⁵ Ex. 2 (Petition) at 9.